

CP Asymmetries in Three-Body Final States in Charged D Decays & CPT Invariance

*From Roman history about data: Caelius (correspondent of Cicero) had taken a pragmatic judgment of who was likely to win the conflict and said: Pompey had the better cause, but Caesar the better army, and so I became a Caesarean.*¹

I. Bediaga^a, I.I. Bigi^b, J. Miranda^a, A. Reis^a

^a Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rua Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290-180, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

^b Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame du Lac
Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA

email addresses: bediaga@cbpf.br, ibigi@nd.edu, jussara@cbpf.br, alberto@cbpf.br

Abstract

The study of regional **CP** asymmetry in Dalitz plots of charm (& beauty) decays gives us more information about the underlying dynamics than the ratio between total rates. In this paper we explore the consequences of the constraint from **CPT** symmetry with emphasis on three-body D decays. We show simulations of $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm K^+ K^-$ and discuss correlations with measured $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$. There are important comments about analyses of recent LHCb data in **CP** asymmetries for $B^\pm$ decays to three-body final states.

Contents

1	Probing CP asymmetries with CPT invariance	2
1.1	CP asymmetries & CPT constraints	3
1.2	Scattering in $\pi\pi \leftrightarrow KK$, $\pi\pi \leftrightarrow \pi\pi$, $KK \leftrightarrow KK$, $\pi K \leftrightarrow \pi K$	4
1.3	Some intriguing results: charmless three-body $B^\pm$ decays	5
2	Simulations of $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm K^- K^+$ and $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^- \pi^+$	6
2.1	Correlations between $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm K^+ K^-$ and $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$	6
2.2	ND in $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm K^+ K^-$ with $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$	10
3	Discussion	11

¹‘Cause’ = symmetry, yet ‘army’ = data.

1 Probing CP asymmetries with CPT invariance

The study of **CP** violation (CPV) is a portal to New Dynamics (ND). Although no obvious signal of ND has been shown in hadronic data, there are still good reasons for its existence: neutrinos oscillations, the existence of Dark Matter & Dark Energy, and ‘our own existence’ are the most obvious ones.

CPV is a well established phenomenon in decays of K and B mesons, but no **CP** asymmetry has been found in D decays – yet. The Standard Model (SM) predicts very small CPV in singly Cabibbo suppressed (SCS) D decays, and close to zero CPV in doubly Cabibbo suppressed (DCS) ones. The observation of **CP** asymmetries at $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ level in charm decays would be a clear manifestation of ND. The experimental sensitivity, however, is rapidly reaching $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ with no signals of CPV. Although the observation of CPV in charm would be a great achievement in itself, one would still have the difficult problem of disentangling ND effects from SM ones.

The vast majority of experimental searches and theoretical works refer to *two*-body final states (FS) of the type $D \rightarrow P_1 P_2$ (P denotes light pseudoscalar mesons). From the theory side there are large uncertainties related to the hadronic degrees of freedom that could easily hide the impact of ND. From the experimental side, the usual **CP** asymmetries in *two*-body decays give ‘only’ a single number and this is not enough information to understand the nature of an eventual CPV signal. One needs to go beyond *two*-body decays and look at new observables. Three- and four-body decays are the natural way. First, local effects may be larger than phase space integrated ones. The asymmetry is modulated by the strong phase variation characteristic of resonant decays [1]. The **CP** asymmetry may change sign across the phase space, and the comparison between integrated rates would dilute an eventual signal. Furthermore, the pattern of the **CP** asymmetry across the phase space could give insights about the underlying operators. CPV searches with charged D mesons with three-body FS is therefore a very important programme.

In this paper we investigate the possible patterns of CPV in three-body, SCS non-leptonic decays of D mesons. ND could produce sizable asymmetries in DCS decays – but DCS rates are small. We focus on direct CPV with $\Delta C = 1$ forces and explore the correlations introduced by strong final state interactions (FSI) & **CPT** conservation, which is assumed to be exact.

Some comments are in order:

- Theoretical predictions are more complicated in $D \rightarrow PV$ with narrow vector meson resonances V , since one deals with three-body FS and interference with other intermediate states must be taken into account. It comes much more complicated in $D \rightarrow PS$ with broad scalar resonances S – in particular with σ & κ . From the experimental side, a full Dalitz plot with very large data sets is quite challenging: for example, effects such as FSI among the three hadrons must be included in the decay model. The modeling of the S-waves is another instance of limitations of the existing tools.

- One alternative are model independent searches, comparing directly the D^+ and the D^- Dalitz plots, as in [2, 3], which is a convenient first step.
- Additional constraints should be used, such as **CPT** invariance. It comes into ‘play’ by imposing equalities of total decay rates of particle and antiparticle. Its invariance imply also the equalities of partial rates of classes of FS where their members can re-scatter to each other. Given that three-body decays are mostly a sequence of two-body transitions, pocesses such as $2\pi, K\bar{K} \leftrightarrow 2\pi, K\bar{K}$ and $\pi K \leftrightarrow \pi K$ become crucial. In other words, **CPT** invariance would relate asymmetries in $D \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi$ with $D \rightarrow \pi K\bar{K}$.

CPT invariance is a clear instance where the low energy hadron dynamics play an important role: it is an unavoidable ingredient to the decay amplitude models. However, going from quarks to hadrons and understanding the dynamics of three-body FSI are real challenges in a quantative way. Dispersion relations and chiral perturbation theory are some of the theoretical tools needed for a more realistic description of three-body FS. This is indeed a field plenty of opportunities.

1.1 CP asymmetries & CPT constraints

Let us consider a decay into a FS f that can proceed through two different amplitudes:

$$T(D^+ \rightarrow f) = e^{i\phi_1^{\text{weak}}} e^{i\delta_1^{\text{FSI}}} \mathcal{A}_1 + e^{i\phi_2^{\text{weak}}} e^{i\delta_2^{\text{FSI}}} |\mathcal{A}_2| \quad (1)$$

$$T(D^- \rightarrow \bar{f}) = e^{-i\phi_1^{\text{weak}}} e^{i\delta_1^{\text{FSI}}} \mathcal{A}_1 + e^{-i\phi_2^{\text{weak}}} e^{i\delta_2^{\text{FSI}}} \mathcal{A}_2 \quad (2)$$

In charged D mesons only *direct* **CP** violation is possible, which means $\Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow f) \neq \Gamma(D^- \rightarrow \bar{f})$. Computing the **CP** asymmetry in the partial width one has

$$\frac{\Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow f) - \Gamma(D^- \rightarrow \bar{f})}{\Gamma(D^+ \rightarrow f) + \Gamma(D^- \rightarrow \bar{f})} = - \frac{2\sin(\Delta\phi_W)\sin(\Delta\delta^{\text{FSI}})|\mathcal{A}_2/\mathcal{A}_1|}{1 + |\mathcal{A}_2/\mathcal{A}_1|^2 + 2|\mathcal{A}_2/\mathcal{A}_1|\cos(\Delta\phi_W)\cos(\Delta\delta^{\text{FSI}})} \quad (3)$$

with $\Delta\phi_W = \phi_1^{\text{weak}} - \phi_2^{\text{weak}}$ & $\Delta\delta^{\text{FSI}} = \delta_1^{\text{FSI}} - \delta_2^{\text{FSI}}$. We see clearly how **CP** asymmetries arise when there are differences in both weak & strong phases.

However, the constraints from **CPT** invariance are not apparent. Suppose the decay mode f belongs to a family of n final states f_n connected to each other via re-scattering. The consequences of **CPT** invariance (general comments on **CPT** invariance are given in Ref.[4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]) become visible, if we rewrite the decay amplitude in the form

$$T(D^+ \rightarrow f_j) = e^{i\delta_{f_j}} \left[T_{f_j} + \sum_{f_k \neq f_j} T_{f_k} i T_{f_j f_k}^{\text{resc}} \right] \quad (4)$$

$$T(D^- \rightarrow \bar{f}_j) = e^{i\delta_{f_j}} \left[T_{f_j}^* + \sum_{f_k \neq f_j} T_{f_j}^* i T_{f_j f_k}^{\text{resc}} \right], \quad (5)$$

where amplitudes $T_{f_j f_k}^{\text{resc}}$ describe FSI connecting f_j and f_k . One gets, in addition to the direct term, a contribution to the **CP** asymmetry of the form:

$$\Delta\gamma(a) = 4 \sum_{f_k \neq f_j} T_{f_j f_k}^{\text{resc}} \text{Im} T_{f_j}^* T_{f_k} \quad (6)$$

There are subtle, but very important statements about using these equations:

- Final states f_n should also include modes with neutrals. In practice, decays like $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$ are really hard to obtain.
- **CPT** invariance can be satisfied in two roads:

One can find that neither $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^- / \pi^\pm \pi^0 \pi^0 / \pi^\pm K^+ K^- / \pi^\pm K^0 \bar{K}^0$ shows evidence for **CP** asymmetry.

At least two of them find **CP** violation with opposite signs.

So far $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$ shows no evidence about **CP** asymmetry [10], but the two roads are still open.

1.2 Scattering in $\pi\pi \leftrightarrow KK$, $\pi\pi \leftrightarrow \pi\pi$, $KK \leftrightarrow KK$, $\pi K \leftrightarrow \pi K$

Early experimental results from $\pi\pi$ scattering presented a significant deviation from the elastic regime of the S-wave in the region between 1.0-1.5 GeV [11, 12]. The inelasticity parameter decreases, starting at 1.0 GeV get a minimum at 1.2 GeV and come back again to the unitary circle at around 1.5 GeV, going counterclockwise in the Argand circle. A similar inspection was performed for the P- and D-waves, but no significant deviation from the elastic regime was found in this energy interval.

The deviation of the inelasticity in the S-wave $\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi$ scattering is associated to a corresponding increase of the cross section of $\pi\pi \rightarrow KK$ [13], in the same energy region. Notice that due to G-parity conservation a pair of pions can only scatter into an even number of pions. In other words, a initial state of two pions can produce either two pions or two kaons.

The same study performed to $K\pi$ elastic scattering by the LASS experiment [14] showed that both S- and P-waves have an inelasticity parameter close to unity in the Argand circle, up to 1.4 GeV in the P-wave and 1.5 GeV in the S-wave. The D-wave is dominated by the resonance $K_2(1430)$ that decay to $K\pi$ with a branching fraction of about 50% [15]. Therefore, re-scattering of the $K\pi$ system is not relevant to this discussion.

The energy range of the $K^+ K^-$ pair is $2m_K \leq m(KK) \leq m_D - m_\pi$, which coincides with the range where the inelasticity of the $\pi\pi$ scattering deviates from unity. **CPT** invariance, therefore, connects the $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ and the $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ K^+ K^-$ decays, through the S-wave $\pi\pi \leftrightarrow KK$ scattering. A comprehensive argument should include $\pi^0 \pi^0$ and $K^0 \bar{K}^0$ as well, but this will not be addressed in this paper.

1.3 Some intriguing results: charmless three-body $B^\pm$ decays

Recent LHCb results on charmless three-body $B^\pm$ decays show sizable averaged **CP** asymmetries over the FS with correlations [16]:

$$A_{CP}(B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-) = +0.032 \pm 0.008_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.004_{\text{syst}} \pm 0.007_{\psi K^\pm} \quad (7)$$

$$A_{CP}(B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm K^+ K^-) = -0.043 \pm 0.009_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.003_{\text{syst}} \pm 0.007_{\psi K^\pm} . \quad (8)$$

It is important to note that these **CP** asymmetries come with *opposite* signs.

The **CP** asymmetry was measured across the Dalitz plot and this is the most interesting result. ‘Local’ **CP** asymmetries come also with opposite signs, but are much larger:

$$A_{CP}(B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-)|_{\text{local}} = +0.678 \pm 0.078_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.032_{\text{syst}} \pm 0.007_{\psi K^\pm} \quad (9)$$

$$A_{CP}(B^\pm \rightarrow K^\pm K^+ K^-)|_{\text{local}} = -0.226 \pm 0.020_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.004_{\text{syst}} \pm 0.007_{\psi K^\pm} . \quad (10)$$

‘Local’ **CP** asymmetries mean here:

- positive asymmetry at low $m_{\pi^+ \pi^-}$ just below m_{ρ^0} ;
- negative asymmetry both at low and high $m_{K^+ K^-}$ values.

There is another important aspect; asymmetries are observed in regions of the phase space not associated to any particular resonance.

A very similar effect was observed in even more CKM suppressed three-body FS, namely $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ K^- K^+$. LHCb experiment has measured these *averaged* and ‘local’ **CP** asymmetries [17]:

$$A_{CP}(B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-) = +0.120 \pm 0.020_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.019_{\text{syst}} \pm 0.007_{J/\psi K^\pm} \quad (11)$$

$$A_{CP}(B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm K^+ K^-) = -0.153 \pm 0.046_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.019_{\text{syst}} \pm 0.007_{J/\psi K^\pm} \quad (12)$$

$$A_{CP}(B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-)|_{\text{local}} = +0.584 \pm 0.082_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.027_{\text{syst}} \pm 0.007_{\psi K^\pm} \quad (13)$$

$$A_{CP}(B^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm K^+ K^-)|_{\text{local}} = -0.648 \pm 0.070_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.013_{\text{syst}} \pm 0.007_{\psi K^\pm} . \quad (14)$$

Again it is very interesting that LHCb data in Eqs.(11,12,13,14) show **CP** asymmetries with *opposite* signs – as ‘natural’ by **CPT** invariance, no matter what forces produce them. Again, a **CPT** symmetry argument has to include neutral bosons as well.

In summary, the results from charmless three-body $B^\pm$ decays are very intriguing. Large regional effects, diluted when phase space integration is performed, appear in regions not associated to resonances, and with opposite signs in FS that are related by re-scattering. Do they show impact of ND? We refer to [8, 9, 18, 19] for additional discussions on this issue.

2 Simulations of $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm K^- K^+$ and $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^- \pi^+$

2.1 Correlations between $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm K^+ K^-$ and $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$

In this section we perform simulations of the $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm K^- K^+$ Dalitz plot to illustrate the re-scattering effects discussed above. The simulations are performed in the framework of the isobar model. It is well known that a sum of Breit-Wigners plus a nonresonant term is not a correct representation of the S-wave[20], but the goal here is not to extract quantitative information on the resonant structure of the decay. Rather, we are interested in the differences between D^+ and D^- Dalitz plots that reflect CPV effects with and without the constraints of **CPT** constraint.

For the decay amplitude we use the resonant substructure is based on Dalitz plot analysis performed by CLEO-c collaboration [21]. For simplicity, we neglect contributions from amplitudes that result in decay fractions smaller than 1%. The resonant amplitudes are written as a product of form factors, relativistic Breit-Wigners and spin amplitudes. We use the following amplitudes: $A_{\phi\pi} = A(D^+ \rightarrow \phi\pi^+)$, $A_{K^*K} = A(D^+ \rightarrow K^*(892)^0 K^+)$, $A_{K_0^*K} = A(D^+ \rightarrow K_0^*(1430)^0 K^+)$, $A_{a_0\pi} = A(D^+ \rightarrow a_0(1450)^0 \pi^+)$, and $A_{\kappa K} = A(D^+ \rightarrow \kappa(800) K^+)$.

The decay amplitudes are written as

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum c_j A_j \quad (15)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \sum \bar{c}_j A_j \quad (16)$$

with $c_j \equiv a_j e^{i\delta_j}$, $j = \phi\pi, K^*K, K_0^*K, a_0\pi, \kappa K$. The amplitudes A_j involve only CP -even, strong phases from the Breit-Wigner functions. Weak phases are included in the phase of the c_j coefficients. **CP** conservation imply $c_j = \bar{c}_j$ for all j .

The couplings c_j between the j -th resonant mode and the initial state are complex for two reasons:

- Weak forces between quarks may produce phases that are opposite for anti-quarks.
- the decay amplitude is affected by hadronic FSI. Strong phases due to the resonance-bachelor re-scattering are included in δ_j and they are the same for hadrons and anti-hadrons.

The Dalitz plot of the $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm K^- K^+$ decay is shown in Fig. 1. The prominent contributions from the $\phi\pi$ and $K^*(892)^0 K^+$ are clearly visible. The contribution from the broad S-wave $K^- \pi^+$ resonances can be seen at the edges of the $s_{K-\pi^+}$ axis.

The set of coefficients c_j ($\bar{c}_j$) defines, thus, the decay amplitude $\mathcal{A}$ ($\bar{\mathcal{A}}$). In our simulations we assume no production asymmetries and identical detection efficiencies, so the number of D^+ and D^- decays is proportional to the integral of the decay amplitudes over the Dalitz plot,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{D^+} &\propto \int |\mathcal{A}|^2 ds_{KK} ds_{K\pi} \\ N_{D^-} &\propto \int |\bar{\mathcal{A}}|^2 ds_{KK} ds_{K\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$




Figure 1: A simulation of the Dalitz plot of the decay $D \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$. The decay model is taken from CLEO-c (see text for details) and is used as the starting point of our studies.

In the case of **CP** conservation we have exactly the same number of D^+ and D^- . But if there is CPV the values of the two integrals will differ, in general. We simulate the D^+ and the D^- Dalitz plot separately, seeding CPV in the latter. We always simulate 3×10^6 $D^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$ decays. The number of generated D^- decays is defined according to the ratio of the above integrals, which depends on how CPV is seeded.

The averaged CP asymmetry is computed as:

$$A_{CP} = \frac{\int |\mathcal{A}|^2 ds_{KK} ds_{K\pi} - \int |\bar{\mathcal{A}}|^2 ds_{KK} ds_{K\pi}}{\int |\mathcal{A}|^2 ds_{KK} ds_{K\pi} + \int |\bar{\mathcal{A}}|^2 ds_{KK} ds_{K\pi}} \quad (18)$$

The D^+ and D^- Dalitz plots, simulated as described above, are compared using the 'Miranda' method [2, 3]. In this method the $D^\pm$ Dalitz plot is divided into bins; a comparison between the D^+ and D^- Dalitz plot is performed directly in a bin-by-bin basis, computing, for each bin, the anisotropy variable

$$\mathcal{S}_{CP}^i = \frac{N_i^+ - N_i^-}{\sqrt{N_i^+ + N_i^-}}, \quad (19)$$

with N_i^+ and N_i^- being the i -th bin content of the D^+ and D^- Dalitz plots, respectively.

The value of $\mathcal{S}_{CP}^i$ is a measure of the significance of the excess of one charge especie over the other in the i -th bin. Notice that $\mathcal{S}_{CP}^i$ may be positive or negative. If **CP** is conserved, N_i^+ and N_i^- will differ only by statistical fluctuations. The values of $\mathcal{S}_{CP}^i$, in this case, are distributed according to a unit Gaussian centered at zero. As an example, we show in Fig. 2 a simulation in which **CP** is conserved — the same number of D^+ and D^- decays are simulated with $c_j = \bar{c}_j$. The plot on the left has the distribution of $\mathcal{S}_{CP}^i$ across the Dalitz plot. No region show any excess of on charge over the other, as expected. The distribution of $\mathcal{S}_{CP}^i$ is shown on the plot on the left, with a unit Gaussian centered at zero superimposed.




Figure 2: A simulation of the Dalitz plot of the decays $D^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$ and $D^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^-$. No CPV is seeded: the same set of coefficients c_j is used for the simulation of the D^+ and the D^- samples. Values of $\mathcal{S}_{CP}^i$ are, in this case, distributed according to a unit Gaussian centered at zero. No excess of one charge over the other is observed in any region of the Dalitz plot, apart from statistical fluctuations.

There is a number of models for CPV beyond the SM. In this exercise we assume a simple scenario, consistent with the SM, in which CPV manifests as a difference in relative phase of the $K^- \pi^+$ and $K^- K^+$ resonances in the D^+ and the D^- Dalitz plots. We refer to this as the SM scenario. We first simulate CPV in this 'SM scenario' (SM CPV, for short). Then we simulate the contribution to $D^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$ from the $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ decay via $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ re-scattering. In this simulation we 'turn off' the SM CPV and introduce a small CPV effect in $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$. Finally the full simulation including both effects is performed.

Our SM CPV consists in introducing a 3° difference in the relative phases of the $K^- K^+$ and $K^- \pi^+$ resonances when the D^- sample is generated. This 3° difference causes a minor excess of D^- over D^+ resulting in an averaged asymmetry of 0.08%, beyond the current experimental sensitivity. The one- and two-dimensional distributions of $\mathcal{S}_{CP}^i$ for the SM CPV simulation are shown in Fig. 3. Large local asymmetries are observed, mostly in regions where the $K^- K^+$ and $K^- \pi^+$ amplitudes overlap. The asymmetry is modulated by the strong phase variation of the resonances, leading to negative values of $\mathcal{S}_{CP}^i$ in some regions of the Dalitz plot and positive in another ones. We see how large local effects can result in a very small averaged asymmetry. The distribution of S_{CP} values is no longer centered at zero ($\mu = -0.395 \pm 0.076$) and is significantly wider than a unit Gaussian ($\sigma = 1.56 \pm 0.06$).

We now illustrate the effect of the **CPT** constraint. In the $D^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$ decay amplitude we now introduce the contribution from the $D \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ decay through the $\pi\pi \leftrightarrow KK$ scattering, but keeping $c_j = \bar{c}_j$. Weak phases are in general obscured by the strong ones, but here is an instance where the existence of the strong phase favors the observation of small differences in weak phases.




Figure 3: A simulation of CP violation in the decay $D \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$. A 3° difference in the $K^* K^+$ and $\phi \pi^+$ relative phase between D^+ and D^- is introduced. The difference in relative phase cause the CP asymmetry to change sign across the Dalitz plot, according to the phase variation of the interfering Breit-Wigners functions.

The re-scattering term is ‘inspired’ in Eqs.(4, 5). For simplicity, the weak amplitude for the $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ decay is represented by a complex constant, $T_{D \rightarrow 3\pi}$, with an unknown modulus and CP odd phase.

The $\pi\pi \rightarrow KK$ scattering amplitude is written as $T_{\pi\pi \rightarrow KK} = A_{\pi\pi \rightarrow KK} e^{i\delta_{\pi\pi \rightarrow KK}}$. The real functions $A_{\pi\pi \rightarrow KK}$ and $\delta_{\pi\pi \rightarrow KK}$ are taken from [13]. $T_{\pi\pi \rightarrow KK}$ is CP invariant.

The decay amplitudes become

$$\mathcal{A} = c_{\phi\pi} A_{\phi\pi} + c_{a_0\pi} A_{a_0\pi} + c_{\kappa K} A_{\kappa K} + c_{K^*K} A_{K^*K} + c_{K_0^*K} A_{K_0^*K} + T_{D \rightarrow 3\pi} T_{\pi\pi \rightarrow KK}, \quad (20)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \bar{c}_{\phi\pi} A_{\phi\pi} + \bar{c}_{a_0\pi} A_{a_0\pi} + \bar{c}_{\kappa K} A_{\kappa K} + \bar{c}_{K^*K} A_{K^*K} + \bar{c}_{K_0^*K} A_{K_0^*K} + \bar{T}_{D \rightarrow 3\pi} T_{\pi\pi \rightarrow KK}. \quad (21)$$

Before performing the full simulation, we investigate the effect of the re-scattering term *alone*, which means $c_j = \bar{c}_j$. In Eqs. (20, 21) we set $|\bar{T}_{D \rightarrow 3\pi}| = 0.9 |T_{D \rightarrow 3\pi}|$ and $\arg(\bar{T}_{D \rightarrow 3\pi}) = \arg(T_{D \rightarrow 3\pi}) + 5^\circ$. The values of $|T_{D \rightarrow 3\pi}|$ and $\arg(T_{D \rightarrow 3\pi})$ are unknown. We chose arbitrary values that yield a small decay fraction of approximately 2% for the re-scattering contribution. This small amount of re-scattering and the small difference introduced between $T_{D \rightarrow 3\pi}$ and $\bar{T}_{D \rightarrow 3\pi}$ are sufficient to yield a CP asymmetry of approximately 0.7%, well within the current experimental sensitivity.

The one- and two-dimensional distributions of $\mathcal{S}_{CP}^i$ for this simulation are shown in Fig. 4. The effect of the global asymmetry is a displacement of the mean of the $\mathcal{S}_{CP}^i$ distribution (right plot). The width of the Gaussian, $\sigma = 1.747 \pm 0.067$, deviates significantly from unity. The Dalitz plot exhibits a clear excess of D^- over D^+ events towards lower values of $m_{K^+K^-}^2$, as expected since $|T_{\pi\pi \rightarrow KK}|$ has a maximum near $1.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$.

We are now ready for the full simulation. The D^- sample is generated with the set of $\bar{c}_j$ coefficients used in the SM CPV example, whereas the re-scattering term is as described above. The $\mathcal{S}_{CP}^i$ distributions are shown in Fig. 5.




Figure 4: A simulation of the Dalitz plot of the decay $D^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$. The same set of coefficients c_j are used for both D^+ and D^- . A re-scattering term in the decay amplitude is introduced (see text for details), being different for D^+ and D^- . The distribution in the left panel is fitted to a Gaussian with free mean and width.

We do not know how large the strong re-scattering term should be, or what value the weak phase of $T_{D \rightarrow 3\pi}$ should take. The effect of the re-scattering in the CP asymmetry depends, naturally, on the assumed difference between $T_{D \rightarrow 3\pi}$ and $\bar{T}_{D \rightarrow 3\pi}$. One should keep in mind that decays with neutrals must be considered in a comprehensive treatment. But with this simple simulation we show how the addition of a re-scattering contribution may change not only the pattern of the SM-CPV asymmetry of Fig. 3, but also give rise to a global CP asymmetry. Different combinations of $|T_{D \rightarrow 3\pi}|$ and $\arg(T_{D \rightarrow 3\pi})$ yielding decay fractions up to a few per cent were tested, always with similar results. With this investigation we want to call attention to the importance of exploring the constraints of **CPT** symmetry, showing how re-scattering contribution may increase both local and phase space integrated effects.

2.2 ND in $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm K^+ K^-$ with $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$

We discuss now one last example: how one can use the Dalitz plot to access the impact of ND. There is a number of extensions of the SM. We explore a scenario in which ND manifests as an enhancement of em CP violation effects associated with the broad scalars (like charged Higgs exchanges). These resonances populates the whole Dalitz plot, interfering with all other components. The resulting asymmetries would be spread all over the phase space.

As discussed before, for the sake of simulations the use of Breit-Wigners parameterization in the context of the isobar model is good enough to highlight the impact of CPV. Better tools – like refined dispersion relations [12] based on the data of low energy strong scattering – have to be developed when it comes to analyse the large data sets from LHCb.

As in our previous simulations, we use the decay amplitude from CLEO-c [21], for the




Figure 5: A simulation of CP violation in the decay $D^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$. A 3° difference in the $K^* K^+$ and $\phi \pi^+$ relative phase between D^+ and D^- is introduced, in addition to the difference in the re-scattering term.

$D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm K^+ K^-$. For the $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$ we use the results from E791 [22]. CP violation is seeded as a 1% difference in the strenght of the coupling of the D^+ and D^- mesons to the light scalars κ and σ , plus a 1° phase difference.

The distributions of the values from the Miranda procedure across the Dalitz plot with CPV seeded as described above are shown in Fig. 6. The broadness of the scalars cause the CP violation effects to be spread over a large portions of the Dalitz plots, being more intense as one approaches the resonance nominal mass. The asymmetry pattern in this example is significantly different from that of the SM CPV of Fig. 3.

3 Discussion

In B transitions one has to find *non*-leading source of CP violation. We had emphasized the need to go beyond the phase space integrated CP asymmetries and probe regional effects on Dalitz plots of three-body B decays [2, 3, 8, 9, 18]. It is crucial to understand the impact of $\pi\pi \leftrightarrow \pi\pi$, $K\bar{K} \leftrightarrow K\bar{K}$, $K\pi \leftrightarrow K\pi$ and more.

The landscape is very different for charm decays, where no CP violation has been found yet. So far theoretical and experimental efforts have focused mostly on two-body FS of charm mesons. This is no surprise since two-body decays are much simpler to treat than three-body ones. However, in order to understand the possible impact of ND in an eventual observations of **CP** violation in charm decays, one definitely needs to go beyond the ratio of integrated rates and study the pattern of regional CPV. *This is the main message of this paper.* One has to do it in steps to understand the information that the data will give us.

The SM produces only small CP asymmetries in SCS decays and very close to zero in




Figure 6: Simulation the decays $D^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$ (left) and $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ right. CP violation is seeded inspired in a ND scenario in which there is an asymmetry between the coupling between the D meson and the light scalars.

DCS one. In this respect, the mere observation of CPV in DCS decays would be a strong indication of ND. DCS rates, however, are very small and very large data sets would be required.

Singly Cabibbo suppressed decays are much more promising. Very large data sets already exist. In this paper we have produced simulations of three-body singly Cabibbo suppressed $D^\pm$ decays. We focused on the $D^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^- / \pi^\pm K^+ K^-$ and explored the consequences of the **CPT** invariance. It is crucial to understand the impact of $\pi\pi \leftrightarrow \pi\pi$, $\pi\pi \leftrightarrow K\bar{K}$, $K\pi \leftrightarrow K\pi$ etc.

This is obviously very challenging. CPV in decays of heavy flavor involves an interplay between the degrees of freedom at the quark level and long distance effects of low energy hadron physics. One needs to think beyond the simple valence quark diagrams. The U -spin symmetry was invented by Lipkin [23]. Later it was applied to B decays many times, as one can see in these references [24]; in [25] it was suggested that one might to deal with U -spin violation of the order of 10 - 20 %.

As discussed in Ref.[1] data tell us much larger violations in *exclusive* decays $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ vs. $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ vs. $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ – however much less in the sum of D decays.

The simulations we performed illustrates the impact of the correlations due to **CPT** invariance, which establishes useful connections between different FS related to each other via strong re-scattering. FSI interactions are indeed a crucial ingredient for any accurate Dalitz plot analysis with the contemporary data sets. Much more theoretical work is necessary in order to produce better decay models.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the NSF under the grant numbers PHY-1215979 and by CNPq.

References

- [1] S. Bianco *et al.*, "Cicerone for the physics of charm", Riv.NuovoCim.26N7(2003)1-200, Sect. 9.4; arXiv:hep-ex/0309021.
- [2] I. Bediaga *et al.*, Phys.Rev.**D80**(2009)096006; arXiv:0905.4233[hep-ph].
- [3] I. Bediaga *et al.*, Phys.Rev.**D86**(2012)036005; arXiv:1205.3036.
- [4] I.I. Bigi *et al.*, p. 175 ff. (in particular p. 190 - 194) in 'CP Violation', C. Jarlskog (Editor), World Scientific (1988).
- [5] L. Wolfenstein, *Phys.Rev.* **D 43** (1991) 151.
- [6] N.G. Uraltsev, arXiv:hep-ph/9212233; Proceedings of DPF meeting of APS, November 10-14, 1992.
- [7] For a review see: I.I. Bigi, A.I. Sanda, "CP Violation, Second Edition", *Cambridge Monographs on Particle Physics, Nuclear Physics and Cosmology* (Cambridge University Press) 2009.
- [8] B. Bhattacharya, M. Gronau, J. L. Rosner, CP asymmetries in three-body $B^\pm$ decays to charged pions and kaons, Phys. Lett. B726 (2013) 337, arXiv:1306.2625.
- [9] I. Bediaga, O. Lourenço and T. Frederico, CP violation and CPT invariance in B decays with final state interactions, arXiv:1307.8164; P.C. Magalhaes *et al.*, Proceedings of Conference "Flavor Physics & CP Violation 2013", Buzios, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- [10] R. Aaij *et al.*, LHCb collab., Phys. Lett. B728 (2014) 585.
- [11] B. Hyams *et al.*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B64** (1973) 134.
- [12] J.F. Donoghue, hep-ph/9607351; M.R. Pennington, Proceedings of MESON2002, arXiv:hep-ph/0207220; J.R. Pelaez, F.J. Yndurain, Phys.Rev.D71:074016,2005; hep-ph/0411334; J. R. Pelaez *et al.*, Proceedings of the Hadron2011: XIV International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy, arXiv:1109.2392.
- [13] D. Cohen *et al.*, *Phys. Rev* **D22**, 2595 (1980).
- [14] D. Aston *et al.*, LASS collab., Nucl. Phys. **B247** 261 (1984).
- [15] Patricia C. Magalhaes *et al.*, *Phys.Rev.***D84** (2011)094001; arXiv:1105.5120 [hep-ph].
- [16] R. Aaij *et al.*, LHCb collab., *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **111** (2013) 101801; Jussara M. de Miranda on behalf of the LHCb collab., arXiv:1301.0283.
- [17] R. Aaij *et al.*, LHCb collab., *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **112** (2014) 011801; I. Nasteva, arXiv:1308.0740 [hep-ex].

- [18] I.I. Bigi; arXiv:1306.6014 [hep-ph], Proceedings of Conference "Flavor Physics & CP Violation 2013", Buzios, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- [19] D. Xu, G.-N. Li, X.-G. He, Large SU(3) breaking effects and CP violation in B^+ decays into three charged SU(3) octet pseudoscalar mesons, arXiv:1307.7186; M. Gronau, U-spin breaking in CP asymmetries in B decays, arXiv:1308.3448.
- [20] S. Gardner, U.-G. Meissner, *Phys.Rev.* **D 65** (2002) 094004; arXiv:hep-ph/0112281.
- [21] P. Rubin *et al.*, CLEO-c collab., *Phys. Rev D***78**, 072003 (2008).
- [22] E.M. Aitala *et al.*, E791 Collab., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**(2001) 770.
- [23] H.J. Lipkin, *Phy.Rev.Lett.* **44** (1980) 710; C.A. Levinson, H.J. Lipkin, S. Meshkov, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **7** (1982) 81.
- [24] M. Gronau, J.L. Rosner, *Phys.Lett.* **B482** (2000) 71; arXiv:hep-ph/0003119; M. Gronau, *Phys.Lett.* **B492** (2000) 297; arXiv:hep-ph/0008292.
- [25] H. Lipkin, *Phys.Lett.* **B621** (2005) 126; arXiv:hep-ph/0503022.